The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service gives news accounts and editorial interpretations of matters of interest to labor concerning in the industrial, legislative, and judicial fields, and contains other information of benefit to the trade union movement.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR
WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Entered as second-class matter September 30, 1912, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage, provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 19, 1918.

Existence would be intolerable if we were never to dream.—Anatole France.

WHOLE NO. 1351 WILLIAM GREEN, President WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, MARCH 6, 1937 FRANK MORRISON, Secretary-Treasurer VOL. 27, NO. 10

Loyalty to American Federation of Labor Principles Is Demanded By A. F. of L. Executive Council

Extension of Support to Committee for Industrial Organization, Which the Tampa Convention Decided Is a Dual Union Existing as a Rival to the American Federation of Labor, Will Not Be Tolerated, President Green Declares.

"The Issue Raised Over the Industrial Form of Organization in Mass Production Industries is Completely Eclipsed by the Dominant Issue of Democratic Control and Democratic Procedure Within the Organized Labor Movement."

By A. F. of L. News Service.

Washington, D. C.—The time has come when all A. F. of L. unions, national, state and local, local and federal, should call their members to a halt and to make a distinction between the American Federation of Labor and the Committee for Industrial Organization," William Green, president of the American Federation of Labor declared in a letter sent by direction of the A. F. of L. Executive Council to the officers and members of all affiliated organizations.

The necessity for this action, Mr. Green declared, has been brought about by the fact that the Committee for Industrial Organization was established with the avowed purpose of overruling the action of the Tampa convention of the movement of the United States by a minority group, and that the C. I. O. has persisted in this undemocratic policy from the time of its organization to date despite the endeavors of the Executive Council to adjust the controversy.

Pointing out that the Committee for Industrial Organization had refused to set up a committee to meet with a committee named by the A. F. of L. Executive Council for the purpose of devising ways and means to settle the dispute and thus bring peace to labor, Mr. Green said that in the only possible interpretation of the refusal of the C. I. O. to appoint a committee "is that said organization is still determined to carry out its original declared purpose to impose the substitution of minority rule for majority control within the organized labor movement."

Asserting that it has been the traditional policy of the American Federation of Labor to recognize both the craft and industrial form of organization, Mr. Green held that the issue raised on the industrial form of organization is nothing but a smoke screen to conceal the deliberate attempt of the C. I. O. to destroy the institutions of democracy and government by the majority in the labor movement.

The text of Mr. Green's letter follows:

"To the Officers and Members of All Organizations Affiliated with the American Federation of Labor:

"Greeting:

"The American Federation of Labor has been established for fifty-six years. It was founded by men who were devoted to the principles of organized labor. It has lived and functioned for more than half a century. In spite of the opposition of those who have sought to substitute a new economic philosophy, such as the Industrial Workers of the World, the One Big Union, and other organizations of this type, the American Federation of Labor has grown in numbers and influence and has written a record of achievement.

"It is the labor movement of our farflung domain. It is an institution firmly established in the economic, social and industrial life of the nation. It is the movement to which men and women have given their lives, and it is the movement to which millions of our fellow citizens have given honorable and loyal support.

"The officers and members of the American Federation of Labor are engaged in organizing work. Onis hundred and fourteen international unions have been chartered; forty-nine state federations of labor and seven hundred and forty-five central labor unions have been formed. As a result, the influence and standing of the American Federation of Labor reaches country every state, city, village and community.

Majority Rule Is Challenged

"The standing of the American Federation of Labor, its standing as the parent body of organized labor in the United States, and its achievements are challenged by those who set up the Committee for Industrial Organization, followed the adjournment of the Fifty-Fifth Annual Convention of the American Federation of Labor which was held at Atlantic City, New Jersey, in October, 1935.

"Those who participated in the formation of the Committee for Industrial Organization declared that it was their avowed purpose to substitute the minority decision of the Fifty-Fifth Annual Convention of the American Federation of Labor for the expressed decision of the majority. To that end they initiated a new movement designed to substitute minority rule for majority rule in the Organized Labor Movement of the United States.

"That means that the dominant issue in the controversy existing between the Committee for Industrial Organization and the American Federation of Labor is the question of democratic procedure and the democratic determination of administrative and organization policies and functions of the American Federation of Labor.

Industrial Unionism Is False Issue

"The issue raised over the industrial form of organization in mass production industries is completely eclipsed by the dominant issue of democratic control and democratic procedure within the Organized Labor Movement.

"This becomes increasingly clear when we examine the facts and when we ever bear the policy of the American Federation of Labor to apply both the industrial and craft form of organization in its organizing activities, and organizaiion which is classified as dual and rival to the American Federation of Labor because of the Tampa decision and a convention of the American Federation of Labor. There is no room for clear and the line is drawn. Workers can not be for both the American Federation of Labor and the Committee for Industrial Organization.

"The Executive Council therefore calls upon the officers of national and international unions, organizers of the American Federation of Labor, both volunteer and otherwise, the officers and members of state federations of labor, city central bodies and local unions to renew their pledge of loyalty and devotion to the American Federation of Labor and to the principles and policies which it represents. In the issue which has arisen between the American Federation of Labor and the Committee for Industrial Organization there can be but one attitude which all can assume as members of the American Federation of Labor; it must be

Support of Dual Group Condemned

"The Executive Council decided that the Committee for Industrial Organization was a dual union existing as a rival to the American Federation of Labor. The Fifty-Sixth Annual Convention which was held at Tampa, Florida, last November decided otherwise; it confirmed the action taken by the Executive Council.

"New state federations of labor, city central bodies and local unions chartered by and in affiliation with the American Federation of Labor must decide whether they will be loyal to the parent body, the great American Federation of Labor, or give support to an organization which is classified as dual and rival to the American Federation of Labor both by the Executive Council and a convention of the American Federation of Labor. There is